Attorney Docket No. 0114089.00121US2 Date of Electronic Deposit: February 28, 2008

# ATTACHMENT B

# (EVIDENCE APPENDIX)

# ATTACHMENT D



### Attachment D to the Evidence Appendix

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,306	12	/03/2003	Douglas B. Wilson	114089.120	5202
23483	7590	07/14/2006		EXAM	INER
WILMER C	UTLER P FREET	ICKERING HA	LE AND DORR LLP	LUONG	i, VINH
BOSTON, M	IA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1682	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



RE: 114089. Action Date:

Action to be Taken: Docketed By

### Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/727,306	WILSON, DOUGLAS B.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vinh T. Luong	3682		

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. 🖾 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) 🔲 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since eriod set forth in 37 CFR 41,37(a).

	a Motice of White	ii iias been med, an	y reply must be it	ied within the time peno	u set
AME	NDMENTS				

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or

(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. 🔯 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔯 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim/s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 14-19, 24/14, 27.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20-23, 14/20, 25, 26, 28.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s),

13. M Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Primary Examiner

### Continuation of 11.

See the reasons set forth in the final Office action on March 30, 2006. In addition, regarding Applicant's reliance on extrinsic evidence, e.g., Webster's Dictionary, the Examiner respectfully submits that the specification is the single best guide to the meaning of a claim tern. Phillips v. AVH. Corp., 75 USPG201 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2005)(en banc). Moreover, Applicant's arguments are similar to the arguments presented in copending Application No. 10720821, the Examiner's response in the final rejection on May 9, 2006 of Appl.'821 is incorporated herein by reference.

#### Continuation of 13. Other:

The replacement drawings filed on June 26, 2006 are accepted by the Examiner.

Vinh T. Luong Primary Examiner

### Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CER 1 121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/727,306	WILSON, DOUGLAS B.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vinh T. Luong	3682		

	Vinh T. Luong	3682		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress	
The amendment document filed on <u>26 June 2006</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the equirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following term(s) is required.				
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE /  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include in the control of t	markings.	E NON-COMPLI	ANT:	
2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37     B. Other	CFR 1.72.			
3. Amendments to the drawings:  A. The drawings are not properly identified:  "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 Cl  B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing amended figures, without mart  C. Other	FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimina	ited. Replaceme	nt drawings	
	e text of all pending claims (including proper status identifier, and a est the status of every claim must atus identifiers: (Original), (Currered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	s such, the individual be indicated after aftly amended), (Connecting and a such a and a and a a a a	dual status its claim anceled), ided)	
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not	signed in accordance with 37 CF	R 1.4):		
or further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.				
ME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resulted that				

- 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

### Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment. Vinh T. Luona

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Telephone No.

Part of Paper No. 20060711

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier. For example, claims 20-23 are withdrawn, however, Applicant identified these claims as "Previously Added."

Vinh T. Luong Primary Examiner